

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,952	10/821,952 04/12/2004		Philip James Ions	T2211-9059US01	2378
181	7590	05/12/2006		EXAMINER	
MILES &		BRIDGE PC	KASTLER, SCOTT R		
SUITE 500		av E		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN,	VA 221	02-3833		1742	
				DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/821,952	IONS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott Kastler	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	May 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal ma	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-10 and 12-40 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-10 and 12-40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the one of the order of the one of the order of the one of the order o	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Preferences Gled (170-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1742

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/1/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-10 and 12-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burrow. Burrow teaches a direct smelting plant with water cooled walls (14) including, components a-i of instant claim 1 recited in claim 1 of Burrow, the solids feed means of the instant claims disclosed at (27) of the drawings, and claims 11-13 of Burrow for example, the crane means of instant claims 18 and 19 disclosed at col. 5 lines 30-50 for example, and the tapping means of claims 20-25 disclosed at claims 6 and 14-17 of Burrow for example, thereby showing all aspects of the above claims except the use or specific placement of the solids feed means, platforms or doors for allowing the plant to be accessible by operators, although Burrow does state, at col. 1 lines 63-65 for example that necessary access and handling facilities should be provided for operation of the plant, and also, at col. 5 lines 43-50 for example, that access

Art Unit: 1742

doors for access to the interior of the vessel should be provided, although Burrow does not state where these platforms (access facilities) and doors should be placed in the plant. However, as stated above, Burrows does teach or fairly suggest all of the recited components, and it has been well settled that where applied prior art shows or suggests all of the recited components of an apparatus operating in substantially the same manner with substantially equal utility as a claimed apparatus, motivation to alter the location or placement of the components would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. See MPEP 2144.04 VI. Because the smelting plant of Burrow is arranged and operated in substantially the same manner as that of the instant claims, and would therefore require both solids feed means and operators at substantially the same locations as in the instant claims, motivation to place the feed means, platforms and doors suggested by Burrow in any equally useful manner including the locations recited by the instant claims as required for efficient operation of the plant of the type disclosed by Burrow, would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 5/1/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that the solids feed means, and various platforms or doors of Burrows are not placed in the same location as instantly recited are not persuasive because as stated above, absent any demonstrated new or unexpected results arising therefrom, motivation to alter the location or shape of components disclosed by the prior art would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1742

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742